

# Conjugate Pairs of Subfactors and Entropy for Automorphisms

Marie Choda

February 4, 2010

Osaka Kyoiku University, Asahigaoka, Kashiwara 582-8582, Japan  
marie@cc.osaka-kyoiku.ac.jp

## Abstract

Based on the fact that, for a subfactor  $N$  of a  $\text{II}_1$  factor  $M$ , the first non-trivial Jones index is 2 and then  $M$  is decomposed as the crossed product of  $N$  by an outer action of  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ , we study pairs  $\{N, uNu^*\}$  from a view point of entropy for two subalgebras of  $M$  with a connection to the entropy for automorphisms, where the inclusion of  $\text{II}_1$  factors  $N \subset M$  is given as  $M$  is the crossed product of  $N$  by a finite group of outer automorphisms and  $u$  is a unitary in  $M$ .

keywords : Subfactor, entropy, conjugate.

Mathematics Subject Classification 2000: 46L55; 46L35

## 1 Introduction

For two von Neumann subalgebras  $A$  and  $B$  of a finite von Neumann algebra  $M$ , in the previous paper [4] we gave a modified constant  $h(A|B)$  of the Connes-Størmer relative entropy  $H(A|B)$  in [7] (cf. [18]). The aim was to see the entropy for unistochastic matrices from the viewpoint of the operator algebras and we showed among others that  $h(D|uDu^*) = H(b(u))$ , where  $D$  is the algebra of the diagonal matrices in the  $n \times n$  complex matrices  $M_n(\mathbb{C})$ , and where  $H(b(u))$  is the entropy in [21] for the unistochastic matrix  $b(u)$  induced by a unitary  $u$  in  $M_n(\mathbb{C})$ , and in general, it does not holds that  $H(D|uDu^*) = H(b(u))$  (see, for example [17]).

In this paper, we replace the type  $I_n$  factor  $M_n(\mathbb{C})$  to a  $II_1$  factor  $M$ . The above relation in [4] (cf. [15]) suggests us that if  $A$  and  $B$  are maximal abelian subalgebras of a  $II_1$  factor  $M$ , then  $h(A|B)$  is not necessarily finite. In order to discuss on a subalgebra  $A$  of  $M$  with  $h(A|uAu^*) < \infty$  for all unitaries  $u$  in  $M$ , we pick up here a subfactor  $N \subset M$  with the Jones index  $[M : N] < \infty$  ([11]) (cf. [8]).

Let  $M$  be a type  $II_1$  factor, and let  $N$  be a subfactor of  $M$  such that  $[M : N] = 2$  which is the simplest, nontrivial unique subfactor of  $M$  up to conjugacy. Then  $M$  is decomposed into the crossed product of  $N$  by an outer automorphism with the period 2. Based on this fact, we study the set of the values  $h(N|uNu^*)$  for the inclusion of factor-subfactor  $N \subset M$ , with a connection to the inner automorphisms  $Adu$ , where  $M$  is given as the crossed product  $N \rtimes_\alpha G$  of a type  $II_1$  factor  $N$  by a finite group  $G$  with respect to an outer action  $\alpha$  and  $u$  is a unitary in  $M$ .

First, for two von Neumann subalgebras  $A$  and  $B$  of a finite von Neumann subalgebra  $M$ , we show, in Corollary 2.2.3 below, that if  $E_A E_B = E_B E_A$  (which is called the commuting square condition in the sense of [9]) then  $H(A|B) = h(A|B)$ , where  $E_A$  is the conditional expectation of  $M$  onto  $A$ .

We give an extended notion  $H_N(Adu)$  of  $H(b(u))$  to the inner automorphisms  $Adu$  in Definition 3.1.1, and we show that  $h(N|uNu^*) \leq H_N(Adu)$  in Theorem 3.1.4.

The inner conjugacy class of  $N$  is rich from the view point of the values of  $h(N|uNu^*)$ , that is, in the special case of  $G = \mathbb{Z}_2$ , keeping the fact that  $h(N|uNu^*) \leq H(M|uNu^*) = \log 2$  for all unitary  $u \in M$  in mind, we have that  $\{h(N|uNu^*) : u \in M \text{ a unitary}\} = [0, \log 2]$  in Theorem 3.2.3.

## 2 Preliminaries

In this section, we summarize, for future reference, notations, terminologies and basic facts.

Let  $M$  be a finite von Neumann algebra, and let  $\tau$  be a faithful normal tracial state. For each von Neumann subalgebra  $A$ , there is a unique  $\tau$  preserving conditional expectation  $E_A : M \rightarrow A$ .

## 2.1 Connes-Størmer relative entropy

Let  $S$  be the set of all finite families  $(x_i)_i$  of positive elements in  $M$  with  $1 = \sum_i x_i$ . Let  $A$  and  $B$  be two von Neumann subalgebras of  $M$ . The relative entropy  $H(A|B)$  is defined by Connes and Størmer ([7]) as

$$H(A | B) = \sup_{(x_i) \in S} \sum_i (\tau \eta E_B(x_i) - \tau \eta E_A(x_i)).$$

Here,  $\eta$  is the function defined by

$$\eta(t) = -t \log t, \quad (0 < t \leq 1) \quad \text{and} \quad \eta(0) = 0.$$

Let  $\phi$  be a normal state on  $M$ . Let  $\Phi$  be the set of all finite families  $(\phi_i)_i$  of positive linear functionals on  $M$  with  $\phi = \sum_i \phi_i$ . The relative entropy  $H_\phi(A|B)$  of  $A$  and  $B$  with respect to  $\phi$  is given by Connes ([6]) as

$$H_\phi(A|B) = \sup_{(\phi_i) \in \Phi} \sum_i (S(\phi_i |_A, \phi |_A) - S(\phi_i |_B, \phi |_B))$$

and if  $\phi = \tau$  then  $H_\tau(A|B) = H(A | B)$ . Here  $S(\phi, \psi)$  is the relative entropy for positive linear functionals  $\phi$  and  $\psi$  on  $M$  (cf. [14, 16]).

## 2.2 Modified relative entropy for two subalgebras.

We modified in [4] the Connes-Størmer relative entropy for a pair of subalgebras as follows :

Let  $A$  and  $B$  be two von Neumann subalgebras of  $M$ . Let  $S$  be the set of all finite families  $(x_i)$  of positive elements in  $M$  with  $1 = \sum_i x_i$ . The *conditional relative entropy*  $h(A | B)$  of  $A$  and  $B$  corresponding  $H(A|B)$  is given as

$$h(A | B) = \sup_{(x_i) \in S} \sum_i (\tau \eta E_B(E_A(x_i)) - \tau \eta E_A(x_i)).$$

Let  $S(A) \subset S$  be the set of all finite families  $(x_i)$  of positive elements in  $A$  with  $1 = \sum_i x_i$ . Then it is clear that

$$h(A | B) = \sup_{(x_i) \in S(A)} \sum_i (\tau \eta E_B(x_i) - \tau \eta(x_i)).$$

Let  $S'(A) \subset S(A)$  be the set of all finite families  $(x'_i)$  with each  $x'_i$  a scalar multiple of a projection in  $A$ . Then

$$h(A | B) = \sup_{(x'_i) \in S'(A)} \sum_i (\tau \eta E_B(x'_i) - \tau \eta(x'_i)).$$

The *conditional relative entropy* of  $A$  and  $B$  with respect to  $\phi$  corresponding  $H_\phi(A|B)$  is given as

$$h_\phi(A|B) = \sup_{(\phi_i) \in \Phi} \sum_i (S(\phi_i | A, \phi | A) - S((\phi_i \circ E_A) | B, (\phi \circ E_A) | B)).$$

If we let  $\Phi(A) \subset \Phi$  be the set of all finite families  $(\phi'_i)$  in  $\Phi$  with each  $\phi'_i = \phi'_i \circ E_A$ , then

$$h_\phi(A|B) = \sup_{(\phi'_i) \in \Phi(A)} \sum_i (S(\phi'_i | A, \phi | A) - S(\phi'_i | B, (\phi \circ E_A) | B)).$$

We give conditions for that  $h_\phi(A|B) = H_\phi(A|B)$  in Corollary 2.2.4 below, and show relations for  $h_\phi(A|B)$ ,  $H_\phi(A|B)$ ,  $H_\phi(A)$  and  $h_\phi(A)$  in Theorem 2.2.2, where  $h_\phi(A)$  is given by modifying  $H_\phi(A)$  in [6] for a von Neumann subalgebra  $A$  of  $M$  (cf. [14]), that is

$$h_\phi(A) = \sup_{(\phi_i) \in \Phi(A)} \sum_i (\eta(\phi_i(1)) + S(\phi_i | A, \phi | A))$$

and

$$H_\phi(A) = \sup_{(\phi_i) \in \Phi} \sum_i (\eta(\phi_i(1)) + S(\phi_i | A, \phi | A)).$$

Clearly, we have that  $0 \leq h_\phi(A) \leq H_\phi(A)$ . In the case of  $\phi$  is the trace  $\tau$ ,  $h_\phi(A) = h(A)$ , which is given as

$$h(A) = \sup_{(x_i) \in S(A)} \sum_i (\eta(\tau(x_i)) - \tau \eta(x_i)).$$

We need the following lemma in order to prove Theorem 2.2.2, in which we show relations among  $H_\phi(A)$ ,  $h_\phi(A)$ ,  $H_\phi(A|B)$  and  $H_\phi(A|B)$  :

**Lemma 2.2.1.** *Let  $A$  and  $B$  be von Neumann subalgebras of a finite von Neumann algebra  $M$ , and let  $\psi, \phi$  be positive linear functionals on  $M$ . If  $E_A E_B = E_B E_A$ , then*

$$S((\psi \circ E_A) | B, (\phi \circ E_A) | B) = S((\psi \circ E_A) |_{A \cap B}, (\phi \circ E_A) |_{A \cap B}).$$

*Proof.* Relative entropy for positive linear functionals  $\psi, \phi$  on a unital  $C^*$ -algebra  $C$  is expressed in [13] by

$$S(\psi, \phi) = \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \sup_x \{ \psi(1) \log n - \int_{1/n}^{\infty} \left( \psi(y(t)^* y(t)) + \frac{1}{t} \phi(x(t) x(t)^*) \right) \frac{dt}{t} \}$$

where  $x(t) : (\frac{1}{n}, \infty) \rightarrow C$  is a step function with finite range, and  $y(t) = 1 - x(t)$ .

Let  $x(t) : (\frac{1}{n}, \infty) \rightarrow B$  be a step function with finite range, then  $E_A(x(t)) : (\frac{1}{n}, \infty) \rightarrow A \cap B$  is a step function with finite range because of that  $E_A E_B = E_B E_A$ . Since  $E_A(x)^* E_A(x) \leq E_A(x^* x)$  for all  $x \in M$ , we have that

$$\begin{aligned} & \psi(1) \log n - \int_{1/n}^{\infty} \left( \psi \circ E_A(y(t)^* y(t)) + \frac{1}{t} \phi \circ E_A(x(t) x(t)^*) \right) \frac{dt}{t} \\ & \leq \psi(1) \log n - \int_{1/n}^{\infty} \left( \psi(E_A(y(t))^* E_A(y(t))) + \frac{1}{t} \phi(E_A(x(t)) E_A(x(t)^*)) \right) \frac{dt}{t} \\ & \leq S((\psi \circ E_A) |_{A \cap B}, (\phi \circ E_A) |_{A \cap B}). \end{aligned}$$

This implies that

$$S((\psi \circ E_A) |_B, (\phi \circ E_A) |_B) \leq S((\psi \circ E_A) |_{A \cap B}, (\phi \circ E_A) |_{A \cap B}).$$

Since the opposite inequality is clear, we have the equality.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.2.2.** *Let  $M$  be a finite von Neumann algebra with a normal faithful tracial state  $\tau$ . Let  $\phi$  be a normal state of  $M$ , and let  $A, B$  be von Neumann subalgebras of  $M$ . Then*

- (1)  $h_\phi(A|B) \leq h_\phi(A|\mathbb{C}\mathbf{1}) = h_\phi(A)$ .
- (2) Assume that  $E_A E_B = E_B E_A$ . Then  $h_\phi(A|B) = h_\phi(A|A \cap B)$ .  
Hence, if  $A \cap B = \mathbb{C}$ , then  $h_\phi(A|B) = h_\phi(A)$ .
- (3) If  $E_A E_B = E_B E_A$  and if  $\phi = \phi \circ E_A$ , then  $H_\phi(A|B) = H_\phi(A|A \cap B)$ .  
Especially, if  $A \cap B = \mathbb{C}$ , then  $H_\phi(A|B) = H_\phi(A)$ .
- (4) If  $B \subset A$ , then

$$H_\phi(A|B) = h_\phi(A|B).$$

Especially,  $H_\phi(A) = h_\phi(A)$ .

*Proof.* (1) Let  $\phi, \psi$  be normal states of  $M$ , and let  $(\phi_i)_i \in \Phi$ . Then  $(\phi \circ E_A) |_B$  and  $\frac{1}{\phi_i(1)}(\phi_i \circ E_A) |_B$  are states of  $B$  so that

$$S\left(\frac{1}{\phi_i(1)}(\phi_i \circ E_A) |_B, (\phi \circ E_A) |_B\right) \geq 0$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & S\left(\frac{1}{\phi_i(1)}(\phi_i \circ E_A) |_B, (\phi \circ E_A) |_B\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{\phi_i(1)} S(\phi_i \circ E_A |_B, (\phi \circ E_A) |_B) - \phi_i(1) \eta\left(\frac{1}{\phi_i(1)}\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{\phi_i(1)} S(\phi_i \circ E_A |_B, (\phi \circ E_A) |_B) - \log(\phi_i(1)). \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$-S((\phi_i \circ E_A) |_B, (\phi \circ E_A) |_B) \leq \eta(\phi_i(1)),$$

and if  $B = \mathbb{C}\mathbf{1}$  then the equality holds because  $\frac{1}{\phi_i(1)}\phi_i|_{\mathbb{C}\mathbf{1}} = \phi|_{\mathbb{C}\mathbf{1}}$ . These imply that

$$\begin{aligned} h_\phi(A|B) &= \sup_{(\phi_i) \in \Phi} \sum_i (S(\phi_i |_A, \phi |_A) - S((\phi_i \circ E_A) |_B, (\phi \circ E_A) |_B)) \\ &\leq \sup_{(\phi_i) \in \Phi} \sum_i (S(\phi_i |_A, \phi |_A) + \eta(\phi_i(1))) \\ &= h_\phi(A), \end{aligned}$$

and the equality holds if  $B = \mathbb{C}\mathbf{1}$ .

(2) Assume that  $E_A E_B = E_B E_A$ , then by lemma 2.2.1, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} h_\phi(A|B) &= \sup_{(\phi_i) \in \Phi} \sum_i (S(\phi_i |_A, \phi |_A) - S((\phi_i \circ E_A) |_B, (\phi \circ E_A) |_B)) \\ &= \sup_{(\phi_i) \in \Phi} \sum_i (S(\phi_i |_A, \phi |_A) - S((\phi_i \circ E_A) |_{A \cap B}, (\phi \circ E_A) |_{A \cap B})) \\ &= h_\phi(A|A \cap B). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $h_\phi(A|B)$  is decreasing in  $B$ , it implies that

$$h_\phi(A|B) = h_\phi(A|A \cap B).$$

Hence, if  $A \cap B = \mathbb{C} 1$ , then  $h_\phi(A|B) = h_\phi(A|\mathbb{C}1) = h_\phi(A)$ .

(3) Assume that  $E_A E_B = E_B E_A$  and that  $\phi \circ E_A = \phi$ . Let  $(\phi_i)_i \in \Phi$ , then  $(\phi_i \circ E_A)_i \in \Phi$  and we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
H_\phi(A|B) &= \sup_{(\phi_i) \in \Phi} \sum_i (S(\phi_i |_A, \phi |_A) - S(\phi_i |_B, \phi |_B)) \\
&\geq \sup_{(\phi_i) \in \Phi} \sum_i (S((\phi_i \circ E_A) |_A, \phi |_A) - S((\phi_i \circ E_A) |_B, \phi |_B)) \\
&= \sup_{(\phi_i) \in \Phi} \sum_i (S(\phi_i |_A, \phi |_A) - S((\phi_i \circ E_A) |_B, (\phi \circ E_A) |_B)) \\
&= \sup_{(\phi_i) \in \Phi} \sum_i (S(\phi_i |_A, \phi |_A) - S((\phi_i \circ E_A) |_{A \cap B}, (\phi \circ E_A) |_{A \cap B})) \\
&= \sup_{(\phi_i) \in \Phi} \sum_i (S(\phi_i |_A, \phi |_A) - S(\phi_i |_{A \cap B}, \phi |_{A \cap B})) \\
&= H_\phi(A|A \cap B).
\end{aligned}$$

In general,  $H_\phi(A|A \cap B) \geq H_\phi(A|B)$  so that

$$H_\phi(A|B) = H_\phi(A|A \cap B).$$

Especially,  $H(A|B) = H(A|A \cap B)$  (cf. [22]), and if  $A \cap B = \mathbb{C}$ , then  $H_\phi(A|A \cap B) = H_\phi(A|\mathbb{C}1) = H_\phi(A)$  so that

$$H_\phi(A|B) = H_\phi(A).$$

(4) If  $B \subset A$ , then

$$\begin{aligned}
H_\phi(A|B) &= \sup_{(\phi_i) \in \Phi} \sum_i (S(\phi_i |_A, \phi |_A) - S(\phi_i |_B, \phi |_B)) \\
&= \sup_{(\phi_i) \in \Phi} \sum_i (S(\phi_i |_A, \phi |_A) - S((\phi_i \circ E_A) |_B, (\phi \circ E_A) |_B)) \\
&= h_\phi(A|B).
\end{aligned}$$

By combining with (1), we have  $H_\phi(A) = h_\phi(A)$ . □

**Corollary 2.2.3.** *Assume that  $E_A E_B = E_B E_A$ . Then  $H(A|B) = h(A|B)$ . Moreover, if  $\phi = \phi \circ E_A$ , then*

$$H_\phi(A|B) = h_\phi(A|B).$$

*Proof.* Assume that  $E_A E_B = E_B E_A$  and that  $\phi = \phi \circ E_A$ . Then by using Theorem 2.2.2 (3), (4) and (2), we have that

$$H_\phi(A|B) = H_\phi(A|A \cap B) = h_\phi(A|A \cap B) = h_\phi(A|B).$$

Since  $E_A$  is the  $\tau$ -conditional expectation,  $\tau = \tau \circ E_A$ , hence

$$H(A|B) = h(A|B).$$

□

### 3 Inner conjugate subfactors

Connes-Størmer defined the entropy  $H(\alpha)$  for a trace preserving automorphism  $\alpha$  of a finite von Neumann algebra in [7]. The definition is arivable for a trace preserving \*-endomorphism too.

For a trace preserving \*-endomorphism  $\sigma$  of a finite von Neumann algebra  $N$ , it was shown a relation between the entropy  $H(\sigma)$  for  $\sigma$  and the relative entropy  $H(N | \sigma(N))$  in the papers [1, 2, 3, 10, 20] (cf. [14]). The relation is, roughly speaking, that

$$H(\sigma) = \frac{1}{2} H(N | \sigma(N))$$

under a certain condition. Such a \*-endomorphism  $\sigma$  can be extended often to an automorphism  $\alpha$  of a finite von Neumann algebra  $M$  which contains  $N$  as a von Neumann subalgebra. Some examples of such endomorphisms appeared in a relation to Jones index theory of subfactors. In [2], we studied a nice class of such a \*-endomorphism  $\sigma$  of a type  $\text{II}_1$  factor  $N$  which is extendable to an automorphism  $\alpha$  of the big type  $\text{II}_1$  factor  $M$  obtained by the basic construction from  $N \supset \sigma(N)$ . We called such a  $\sigma$  *basic* \*-endomorphism and



showed that  $H(\alpha) = \frac{1}{2}H(N|\sigma(N))$ . Since  $\sigma(N) \subset N$ , we have by Theorem 2.2.2 (4) that  $H(N|\sigma(N)) = h(N|\sigma(N))$  so that

$$H(\alpha) = \frac{1}{2}H(N|\sigma(N)) = \frac{1}{2}h(N|\sigma(N)) = \frac{1}{2}h(N|\alpha(N)).$$

This means that for an automorphism  $\alpha$  of a  $\text{II}_1$  factor  $M$  we may be able to choose a subfactor  $N \subset M$  such that the entropy for  $\alpha$  is given from  $h(N|\alpha(N))$ .

Our study in this section is motivated by these results. The above automorphism  $\alpha$  arising from a  $*$ -endomorphism as is outer. Here, we discuss by replacing the  $\alpha$  to inner automorphisms  $Adu$  and the entropy  $H(\alpha)$  to the entropy  $H_N(Adu)$  defined below.

### 3.1 Entropy for Inner Automorphisms with respect to Subfactors

Let  $N$  be a type  $\text{II}_1$  factor with the canonical trace  $\tau$  and let  $G$  be a finite group. Let  $\alpha$  be an outer action of  $G$  on  $N$ , so that for all  $g \in G, g \neq 1$  if  $\alpha_g(x)a = ax$  for all  $x \in N$ , then  $a = 0$ . Hereafter, we let  $M$  be the crossed product of  $N$  by  $G$  with respect to  $\alpha$  :

$$M = N \rtimes_{\alpha} G.$$

We identify  $N$  with the von Neumann subalgebra embedded in  $M$ , and denote by  $v$  the unitary representation of  $G$  in  $M$  such that every  $v_g$  is a unitary in  $M$  with

$$\alpha_g(x) = v_g x v_g^*, \quad (x \in N, g \in G).$$

Then every  $x \in M$  is written by the Fourier expansion

$$x = \sum_{g \in G} x_g v_g, \quad (x_g \in N)$$

and  $x_g = E_N(x v_g^*)$ . A  $u \in M$  is a unitary if and only if

$$\sum_{g \in G} u_{hg} \alpha_h(u_g^*) = \delta_{h,1} \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{g \in G} \alpha_g^{-1}(u_g^* u_{gh}) = \delta_{h,1},$$

where we denote the identity of  $G$  by 1. This imply that  $\sum_{g \in G} \tau(u_g u_g^*) = 1$ , and we can put as the followings :

**Definition 3.1.1.** The *entropy of the inner automorphism*  $\text{Adu}$  of  $M$  with respect to  $N$  is given by

$$H_N(\text{Adu}) = \sum_{g \in G} \eta \tau(u_g u_g^*).$$

**Comment 3.1.2.** Each  $x \in M$  is represented as the matrix  $x = (x(g, h))_{gh}$  indexed by the elements of  $G$ . Here  $x(g, h) \in N$  for all  $g, h$  in  $G$ , and  $x(g, h) = \alpha_g^{-1}(E_N(x v_h^*)) = \alpha_g^{-1}(x_h)$ . The entropy  $H(b(u))$  defined in [21] is written as

$$H(b(u)) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i,j} \eta(|u(i, j)|^2),$$

when  $b(u)$  is the unistochastic matrix induced by a unitary  $u = (b(i, j))_{ij}$  in  $M_n(\mathbb{C})$ . A matrix representation for an  $x$  in  $M_n(\mathbb{C})$  is depend on the diagonal matrix algebra. In that sense, we consider the notion of  $H_N(\text{Adu})$  corresponds to the notion of the entropy for a unistochastic matrix.

**Lemma 3.1.3.** (1) *If  $\text{Adu}$  and  $\text{Adw}$  are conjugate, then  $H_N(\text{Adu}) = H_N(\text{Adw})$ .*

(2) *If  $\theta = \text{Adu}$  for some unitary  $u \in M$ , then  $H_N(\theta^{-1}) = H_N(\theta)$ .*

*Proof.* (1) Assume  $\text{Adu} = \theta \text{Adw} \theta^{-1}$  for some automorphism  $\theta$  of  $M$ . Then  $\theta(w) = \lambda u$  for some complex number  $\lambda$  with  $|\lambda| = 1$  and so  $\eta \tau(w_g w_g^*) = \eta \tau(u_g u_g^*)$  which implies that  $H_N(\text{Adu}) = H_N(\text{Adw})$ .

(2) Let  $w \in M$  be a unitary with  $\text{Adw} = \theta^{-1}$ , then  $w = \gamma u^*$  for some  $\gamma \in \mathbb{T}$ . For the expression that  $w = \sum_g w_g v_g$ , we have that  $w_g = \gamma \alpha_g(u_{g^{-1}}^*)$  for all  $g \in G$  so that

$$H_N(\theta^{-1}) = \sum_g \eta \tau(w_g w_g^*) = \sum_g \eta \tau(u_g u_g^*) = H_N(\theta).$$

□

The  $h(N|uNu^*)$  is bounded by  $H_N(\text{Adu})$  as follows :

**Theorem 3.1.4.** *Assume that  $N$  is a type  $II_1$  factor,  $G$  is a finite group and  $M = N \rtimes_\alpha G$  with respect to the outer action  $\alpha$ . Then for each unitary  $u \in M$ , we have that*

$$h(N|uNu^*) \leq H_N(\text{Adu}) = \sum_{g \in G} \eta \tau(u_g u_g^*) \leq \log |G|,$$

where  $|G|$  is the cardinarity of  $G$ .

*Proof.* Let  $(\lambda_i p_i)_{i \in I} \in S'(N)$  be a finite partition of the unity, that is,

$$\sum_{i \in I} \lambda_i p_i = 1$$

where  $(\lambda_i)_{i \in I}$  are positive numbers and  $(p_i)_{i \in I}$  are projections in  $N$ . For a given  $\varepsilon$ , choose an  $\epsilon > 0$  with  $2|G|\eta(\epsilon) < \min\{\varepsilon, 1/e\}$ . There exist mutually orthogonal projections  $(q_{i,k})_k \subset N$  and nonnegative numbers  $(\alpha_{i,k}^g)_k$  which satisfy that

$$p_i = \sum_k q_{i,k} \quad \text{and} \quad 0 \leq q_{i,k} u_g u_g^* q_{i,k} - \alpha_{i,k}^g q_{i,k} \leq \epsilon q_{i,k}.$$

This is possible by the induction method of the spectral decompositions for  $(p_i u_g u_g^* p_i)_{i \in I, g \in G}$ , (see for example. [18, Proof of 4.3 Lemma]). In fact, letting  $G = \{g_1, \dots, g_m\}$  and by the spectral decomposition for  $p_i u_{g_1} u_{g_1}^* p_i \in p_i N p_i$ , we have mutually orthogonal projections  $(q_{i,k_1}^1)_{k_1} \subset p_i N p_i$  and nonnegative numbers  $(\alpha_{i,k_1}^1)_{k_1}$

$$p_i = \sum_{k_1} q_{i,k_1}^1 \quad \text{and} \quad 0 \leq q_{i,k_1}^1 u_{g_1} u_{g_1}^* q_{i,k_1}^1 - \alpha_{i,k_1}^1 q_{i,k_1}^1 \leq \epsilon q_{i,k_1}^1.$$

Next by the consideration for  $q_{i,k_1}^1 u_{g_2} u_{g_2}^* q_{i,k_1}^1$ , we have a partition  $(q_{i,k_1,k_2}^2)_{k_2}$  of  $q_{i,k_1}^1$  and  $(\alpha_{i,k_1,k_2}^2)_{k_2}$ . Put  $\alpha_{i,k}^{g_j} = \alpha_{i,k_1,\dots,k_j}^j$  and  $q_{i,k} = q_{i,k_1,\dots,k_m}^m$ . Then these satisfy the desired conditions.

Since  $\eta(x+y) \leq \eta(x) + \eta(y)$ ,  $(x, y \in N)$ ,  $\eta$  is increasing on  $[0, 1/e]$ , and the family  $(q_{i,k})_k$  is mutually orthogonal, we have for  $\epsilon$  with  $\epsilon \leq 1/e$

$$\begin{aligned} & \tau\eta\left(\sum_k q_{i,k} u_g u_g^* q_{i,k}\right) \\ & \leq \tau\eta\left(\sum_k (q_{i,k} u_g u_g^* q_{i,k} - \alpha_{i,k}^g q_{i,k})\right) + \tau\eta\left(\sum_k \alpha_{i,k}^g q_{i,k}\right) \\ & \leq \eta(\epsilon)\tau(p_i) + \sum_k \eta(\alpha_{i,k}^g)\tau(q_{i,k}). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by the condition that  $\sum_{i,k} \lambda_i \tau(q_{i,k}) = 1$ , the operator concavity of  $\eta$

implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{i,g} \lambda_i \tau \eta \left( \sum_k q_{i,k} u_g u_g^* q_{i,k} \right) \\
& \leq \sum_{i,k,g} \lambda_i \eta(\alpha_{i,k}^g) \tau(q_{i,k}) + |G| \eta(\epsilon) \\
& = \sum_{i,k} \lambda_i \tau(q_{i,k}) \sum_g \eta(\alpha_{i,k}^g) + |G| \eta(\epsilon) \\
& \leq \sum_g \eta \left( \sum_{i,k} \lambda_i \tau(q_{i,k}) \alpha_{i,k}^g \right) + |G| \eta(\epsilon).
\end{aligned}$$

Remark that for all  $g \in G$

$$\tau(u_g u_g^*) - \sum_{i,k} \lambda_i \alpha_{i,k}^g \tau(q_{i,k}) = \sum_{i,k} \lambda_i \tau(q_{i,k} u_g u_g^* q_{i,k} - \alpha_{i,k}^g q_{i,k})$$

and that

$$0 \leq \sum_{i,k} \lambda_i \tau(q_{i,k} u_g u_g^* q_{i,k} - \alpha_{i,k}^g q_{i,k}) \leq \epsilon.$$

Then we have that

$$0 \leq \tau(u_g u_g^*) - \sum_{i,k} \lambda_i \tau(q_{i,k}) \alpha_{i,k}^g \leq \epsilon, \quad (g \in G)$$

so that

$$\sum_g \eta \left( \sum_{i,k} \lambda_i \tau(q_{i,k}) \alpha_{i,k}^g \right) \leq \sum_g \eta \tau(u_g u_g^*) + |G| \eta(\epsilon).$$

Here we used the following inequality in [14, (2.8)]

$$|\eta(s) - \eta(t)| \leq \eta(s - t) \text{ for } 0 \leq s - t \leq \frac{1}{2}.$$

Remark that  $\sum_g u_g u_g^* = 1$  and that for all  $i$  the projections  $(q_{i,k})_k$  is mutually orthogonal. Hence by using the following fact that

$$\tau \eta(u_g^* q_{i,k} u_g) = \tau \eta(q_{i,k} u_g u_g^* q_{i,k}),$$

we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{i,k} (\tau \eta E_N(u^* \lambda_i q_{i,k} u) - \tau \eta(\lambda_i q_{i,k})) \\
&= \sum_{i,k} (\tau \eta (\sum_{g \in G} \alpha_g(u_g^* \lambda_i q_{i,k} u_g) - \eta(\lambda_i) \tau(q_{i,k}))) \\
&\leq \sum_{i,k} \sum_g (\tau \eta(u_g^* \lambda_i q_{i,k} u_g) - \eta(\lambda_i) \tau(q_{i,k})) \\
&= \sum_{i,k,g} (\eta(\lambda_i) \tau(u_g^* q_{i,k} u_g) + \lambda_i \tau \eta(u_g^* q_{i,k} u_g) - \sum_{i,k} \eta(\lambda_i) \tau(q_{i,k})) \\
&= \sum_i (\eta(\lambda_i) \tau(p_i \sum_g u_g u_g^*) + \sum_{i,k,g} \lambda_i \tau \eta(u_g^* q_{i,k} u_g) - \sum_i \eta(\lambda_i) \tau(p_i)) \\
&= \sum_{i,k,g} \lambda_i \tau \eta(q_{i,k} u_g u_g^* q_{i,k}) \\
&= \sum_{i,g} \lambda_i \tau \eta(\sum_k q_{i,k} u_g u_g^* q_{i,k}) \\
&\leq \sum_g \eta \tau(u_g u_g^*) + 2|G| \eta(\epsilon).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
h(N|uNu^*) &= \sup_{(\lambda_i p_i) \in S'(N)} \sum_i (\tau \eta E_N(u^* \lambda_i p_i u) - \tau \eta(\lambda_i p_i)) \\
&= \sup_{(\lambda_i q_{i,k})_{i,k}} \sum_{i,k} (\tau \eta E_N(u^* \lambda_i q_{i,k} u) - \tau \eta(\lambda_i q_{i,k})) \\
&\leq \sum_g \eta \tau(u_g u_g^*).
\end{aligned}$$

□

Since  $\eta$  is a concave function, Theorem 3.1.4 implies the following :

**Corollary 3.1.5.** *Assume that  $N, G, u$  be as in Theorem 3.1.4 and that  $h(N|uNu^*) = \log |G|$ . Then  $\tau(u_g u_g^*) = \frac{1}{|G|}$  for all  $g \in G$ .*

**Remark and Example 3.1.6.** Let  $A$  and  $B$  be subalgebras of a type  $\text{II}_1$  factor  $M$ . Then  $h(A|B) \leq H(A|B) \leq H(M|B)$ , and if  $B$  is a subfactor with

$B' \cap M = \mathbb{C}$  then  $H(M|B) = \log[M : B]$  by [18] so that  $h(A|B) \leq \log[M : B]$ .

Størmer says that relative entropy can be viewed as a measure of distance between two subalgebras, which in the noncommutative case also measures their sizes and relative position.

Here, we give an example, which shows that  $h(A|B)$  measures relative position and that some small size subalgebra  $A_G$  can take the maximal value of  $h(A|B)$ , although the entropy  $h(A|B)$  is increasing in  $A$ .

Assume that the finite group  $G$  in Theorem 3.1.4 is abelian. Let  $B = uNu^*$ . By taking the inner automorphism  $Adu^*$ , we may consider  $M$  as the crossed product of  $B$  by  $G$  so that  $x \in M$  has a unique expansion  $x = \sum_{g \in G} x_g v_g$ , ( $x_g \in B$ ). Let  $A_G$  be the von Neumann algebra generated by the unitary group  $v_G$ , (that is,  $|G|$  dimensional abelian algebra). Then

$$h(A_G|B) = \log |G| = H(M|B) = \log[M : B].$$

In fact, it is clear that  $h(A|B) \leq H(M|B) = \log[M : B] = \log |G|$ . To show the opposite inequality, let  $\hat{G}$  be the character group of  $G$ . Given  $\chi \in \hat{G}$ , let

$$p_\chi = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \chi_g v_g.$$

Then  $\{p_\chi; \chi \in \hat{G}\}$  is a family of mutually orthogonal projections in  $A_G$  with  $\sum_{\chi \in \hat{G}} p_\chi = 1$ . Hence

$$h(A_G | B) \geq \sum_{\chi \in \hat{G}} (\tau \eta E_B(p_\chi)) = \sum_{\chi \in \hat{G}} \eta\left(\frac{1}{|G|}\right) = |\hat{G}| \frac{1}{|G|} \log(|G|) = \log |G|,$$

and we have that

$$h(A_G | B) = \log |G|.$$

In the next section, we show that inner conjugacy classes of subfactors  $N$  of type  $\text{II}_1$  factor can take the maximum value of  $h(N|uNu^*)$ .

### 3.2 Case of $G = \mathbb{Z}_n$

Here, we assume that the group  $G$  in 3.1 is a finite cyclic group  $\mathbb{Z}_n$ , that is,  $M$  is the crossed product  $N \rtimes_{\alpha} \mathbb{Z}_n$  of a  $\text{II}_1$ -factor  $N$  by the group generated by an automorphism  $\alpha$  on  $N$  such that  $\alpha^n$  is the identity and  $\alpha^i$  is outer for  $i = 1, \dots, n-1$ . Such an automorphism  $\alpha$  is called a minimal periodic automorphism (cf. [5]).

#### 3.2.1 Matrix units for minimal periodic automorphisms

Let  $\gamma$  be a primitive  $n$ -th root. Connes showed in the proof for the characterization of minimal periodic automorphisms ([5, Cor. 2.7]) that if  $\alpha$  is minimal periodic, then there exists a set of matrix units  $\{e_{ij}\}_{i,j=1}^n$  in  $N$  such that

$$\alpha(e_{ij}) = \gamma^{i-j} e_{ij}, \quad (i, j = 1, \dots, n).$$

Let  $w = \sum_i e_{i+1,i}$ . Then  $w$  is a unitary in  $N$  which satisfies that

$$w^j = \sum_i e_{i+j,i}, \quad w^{i*} e_{jj} w^i = e_{j-i,j-i} \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha(w) = \gamma w.$$

The following indicates that the inner conjugacy class of  $N$  can take the  $\max_L h(N|L)$ , where  $L$  is a subfactor of  $M$  with  $[M : L] = n$ .

**Theorem 3.2.2.** *Let  $N \subset M$  be the above. Then there exists a unitary operator  $u$  in  $M$  which satisfies the following properties :*

- (1)  $h(N|uNu^*) = H_N(\text{Adu}) = \log n$ .
- (2) *The conditional expectations  $E_N$  and  $E_{uNu^*}$  commute.*

*Proof.* Let  $w \in N$  be the unitary operator in 3.2.1. Let  $v \in M$  be a unitary in  $M$  implimenting  $\alpha$ , that is,  $\alpha(x) = vxv^*$  for all  $x \in N$ . We put

$$u = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_i w^i v^{i-1}.$$

Then  $u$  is a unitary and  $\sqrt{n}E_N(u) = w$ . Since  $H_N(u) = \log n$ , we have by Theorem 3.1.4 that

$$h(N|uNu^*) \leq \log n.$$

As a finite partition of the unity, we choose  $\{p_j : p_j = e_{jj}, j = 1, \dots, n\}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}
h(N|uNu^*) &\geq \sum_j \tau\eta E_{uNu^*}(p_j) - \tau\eta(p_j) = \sum_j \tau\eta E_N(u^*p_ju) \\
&= \sum_j \tau\eta\left(\sum_i \alpha^{-i}\left(\frac{w^{i*}p_jw^i}{n}\right)\right) = \sum_j \tau\eta\left(\frac{\sum_i p_{j-i}}{n}\right) \\
&= \log n
\end{aligned}$$

Hence  $h(N|uNu^*) = \log n$ .

(2) To show that  $E_{uNu^*}E_N = E_NE_{uNu^*}$ , remark that for all  $a \in N$ ,

$$E_{uNu^*}(av^k) = \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_j \left( \sum_{i,l} \gamma^{k^2+2ki-(j+l)k-jl} w^{j+l-k-i} \alpha^{j+l-k-i}(a) w^{i-l} \right) v^j.$$

Assume that  $k \neq 0$ . Then  $E_N(av^k) = 0$ . On the other hand,

$$E_NE_{uNu^*}(av^k) = \frac{1}{n^2} \gamma^{2k} w^{-k} \alpha^{-1} \left( \sum_i \sum_l \gamma^{2ki-lk} w^{l-i} \alpha^{l-i}(a) w^{i-l} \right)$$

and

$$\sum_i \sum_l \gamma^{2ki-lk} w^{l-i} \alpha^{l-i}(a) w^{i-l} = \sum_j \left( \sum_i \gamma^{k(i-j)} w^j \alpha^j(a) w^{*j} \right) = 0.$$

Therefore,

$$E_NE_{uNu^*}(av^k) = 0 = E_{uNu^*}E_N(av^k).$$

for all  $a \in N$  and  $k = 1, \dots, n-1$ . Also for each  $a \in N$ , we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
E_{uNu^*}E_N(a) &= \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_j \left( \sum_{i,l} \gamma^{jl} w^{j+l-i} \alpha^{j+l-i}(a) w^{i-l} \right) v^j = \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_l \sum_i w^i \alpha^i(a) w^{*i} \\
&= \frac{1}{n} \sum_i w^i \alpha^i(a) w^{*i} = E_N\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_i w^i \alpha^i(a) w^{*i}\right) \\
&= E_NE_{uNu^*}(a).
\end{aligned}$$



These show that

$$E_{uNu^*}E_N(x) = E_N E_{uNu^*}(x) \quad \text{for all } x \in M.$$

□

### 3.2.3 A continuous family of subfactors with index 2

At the last, in the case of  $G = \mathbb{Z}_2$ , we show a result corresponding one in [4] for maximal abelian subalgebras of the type  $I_n$  factors  $M_n(\mathbb{C})$ .

**Theorem 3.2.3.** *Let  $N$  be a type  $II_1$  factor and let  $M$  be the crossed product  $N \rtimes_{\alpha} \mathbb{Z}_2$  by an outer automorphism  $\alpha$  with the period 2. For the unitary  $w \in N$  in 3.2.1, let*

$$u(\lambda) = \sqrt{\lambda}w + \sqrt{1-\lambda}v, \quad (0 \leq \lambda \leq 1).$$

*Then  $u(\lambda)$  is a unitary in  $M$  which satisfies the followings :*

(1)

$$h(N \mid u(\lambda) N u(\lambda)^*) = H_N(u) = \eta(\lambda) + \eta(1-\lambda).$$

and

$$\{h(N \mid u(\lambda) N u(\lambda)^*) : \lambda \in [0, 1]\} = [0, \log 2].$$

(2)

$$\begin{array}{ccc} N & \subset & M \\ \cup & & \cup \\ N \cap u(\lambda) N u(\lambda)^* & \subset & u(\lambda) N u(\lambda)^* \end{array}$$

*is a commuting square in the sense of [9] if and only if  $\lambda = \frac{1}{2}$ .*

(3)

$$h(N \mid u(\frac{1}{2}) N u(\frac{1}{2})^*) = H_N(Ad u(\frac{1}{2})) = \max_u h(N \mid u N u^*) = \log 2$$

where  $u$  is a unitary in  $M$ .

*Proof.* (1) It is clear that  $H_N(Adu(\lambda)) = \eta(\lambda) + \eta(1 - \lambda)$ . Hence by Theorem 3.1.4, we have

$$h(N|u(\lambda)Nu(\lambda)^*) \leq \eta(\lambda) + \eta(1 - \lambda).$$

We remark that for each  $x \in N$ ,

$$E_{u(\lambda)Nu(\lambda)^*}(x) = u(\lambda)E_N(u(\lambda)^*xu(\lambda))u(\lambda)^* = \lambda w^*xw + (1 - \lambda)\alpha(x).$$

Let  $\{e_{ij}\}_{i,j=1,2} \subset N$  be the set of matrix units for  $\alpha$  in 3.2.1. Then

$$E_{u(\lambda)Nu(\lambda)^*}(e_{ii}) = \lambda w^*e_{ii}w + (1 - \lambda)\alpha(e_{ii}) = \lambda e_{i+1,i+1} + (1 - \lambda)e_{ii}, \quad (\text{mod } 2).$$

Hence, we have that for each  $i = 1, 2$ ,

$$\tau\eta(E_{u(\lambda)Nu(\lambda)^*}(e_{ii})) = \frac{1}{2}(\eta(\lambda) + \eta(1 - \lambda)),$$

so that

$$h(N|u(\lambda)Nu(\lambda)^*) \geq \eta(\lambda) + \eta(1 - \lambda).$$

This implies that

$$h(N|u(\lambda)Nu(\lambda)^*) = \eta(\lambda) + \eta(1 - \lambda).$$

(2) First we remember the following ; the diagram is a commuting square in the sense of [9] means that  $E_N E_{uNu^*} = E_{uNu^*} E_N$ .

Let  $x \in N$ . Since  $\alpha(w) = -w^*$ , we have that

$$E_N E_{u(\lambda)Nu(\lambda)^*}(x) = \lambda^2 x + 2\lambda(1 - \lambda)w\alpha(x)w^* + (1 - \lambda)^2 x$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} E_{uNu^*} E_N(x) &= \lambda^2 x + 2\lambda(1 - \lambda)w\alpha(x)w^* + (1 - \lambda)^2 x + \sqrt{\lambda(1 - \lambda)}(2\lambda - 1)xwv \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $E_N E_{u(\lambda)Nu(\lambda)^*}(x) = E_{uNu^*} E_N(x)$  for all  $x \in N$  if and only if  $\lambda = 1/2$ . Similarly, for all  $x \in N$ ,

$$E_N E_{u(1/2)Nu(1/2)^*}(xv) = w\alpha(x_1) + x_1 w^* + x_1 \alpha(w) + \alpha(w^* x_1) = 0$$

and

$$E_{u(1/2)Nu(1/2)^*} E_N(xv) = 0.$$

These imply the conclusion.

(3) Since  $N$  is a subfactor of  $M$  with  $[M : N] = 2$ , we have that

$$h(N|uNu^*) \leq H(N|uNu^*) \leq H(M|N) = \log 2$$

so that

$$h(N | u(\frac{1}{2}) N u(\frac{1}{2})^*) = \log 2 = \max_u h(N | uNu^*).$$

□

## References

- [1] M. Choda, Entropy for \*-endomorphisms and relative entropy for subalgebras, *J. Operator Theory*, **25** (1991), no.1, 125-140.
- [2] M. Choda, Entropy for canonical shifts, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **334** (1992), no.2, 827-849.
- [3] M. Choda and F. Hiai, Entropy for canonical shifts II, *Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci.*, **27** (no.3) (1991), 461-489.
- [4] M. Choda, Relative entropy for maximal abelian subalgebras of matrices and the entropy of unistochastic matrices, *Int. J. Math.*, **19**(2008), no. 7, 767-776.
- [5] A. Connes, Periodic automorphisms of the hyperfinite factor of type  $II_1$ , *Acta Sci. Math.*, **39** (1977), 39-66.
- [6] A. Connes, Entropie de Kolmogoroff Sinai et mecanique statistique quantique, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris*, **301** (1985), 1-6.
- [7] A. Connes and E. Størmer, *Entropy of  $II_1$  von Neumann algebras*, *Acta Math.*, **134** (1975), 289-306.
- [8] D. Evans and Y. Kawahigashi, *Quantum Symmetries on Operator Algebras*, Oxford Mathematical Monographs, Oxford(1998).
- [9] F.M. Goodman, P. de la Harpe and V.F.R. Jones, *Coxeter Graphs and Towers of Algebras*, Math. Sciences Res. Inst. Publ., Springer Verlag (1989).

- [10] F. Hiai, *Entropy for canonical shifts and strong amenability*, Internat. J. Math., **6** (1995), 381–396.
- [11] V.F.R. Jones, *Index for subfactors*, Invent. Math., **72** (1983), 1-25.
- [12] V.F.R. Jones, *Two subfactors and the algebraic decomposition of bimodules over  $II_1$  factors*, Acta Math. Vietnam., **33** (2008), 209–218.
- [13] H. Kosaki, *Relative entropy of states : a variational expression*, J. Operator Theory, **16** (1986), 335-348.
- [14] S. Neshveyev and E. Størmer, *Dynamical entropy in operator algebras*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin(2006).
- [15] R. Okayasu, *Relative entropy for abelian subalgebras*, To appear in Internat J. Math..
- [16] M. Ohya and D. Petz, *Quantum entropy and its use*, Texts and Monographs in Physics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin(1993).
- [17] D. Petz, A. Szántó and M. Weiner, *Complementarity and the algebraic structure of 4-level quantum systems*, J. Infin. Dim. Anal., Quantum Probability and Related Topics **12**(2009), 99-116.
- [18] M. Pimsner and S. Popa, *Entropy and Index for subfactors*, Ann. Sci. Ecole Norm. Sup., (4), **19** (1986), 57-106.
- [19] S. Popa, *Orthogonal pairs of \*-subalgebras in finite von Neumann algebras*, J. Operator Theory **9** (1983), 253 – 268.
- [20] E. Størmer, *Entropy of endomorphisms and relative entropy in finite von Neumann algebras*, J. Funct. Anal. **171** (2000), 34 – 52.
- [21] K. Życzkowski, M. Kuś, W. Słomczyński and H.-J. Sommers, *Random unistochastic matrices*, J. Phys., **A36** (2003), 3425-3450.
- [22] Y. Watatani and J. Wierzbicki, *Commuting squares and relative entropy for two subfactors*, J. Funct. Anal., **133** (1995), 329-341.

The author was supported in part by JSPS Grant No.20540209.